I. Introduction

Hofmeister and Sag (2010) and Hofmeister (2008) found that the processing of filler-gap dependencies with an extracted wh-phrase in English is sensitive to the complexity of the wh-phrase (also cf. Frazier and Clifton, 2002).

- Reading times of the word after the extracted wh-phrase were longer after a which-phrase than after a bare wh-word.
- At the gap site, in contrast, RTs were shorter for ‘which-gaps’ than for bare ‘wh-gaps’.

Suggested reasons: greater informativity of which-phrase, therefore –
- reduced likelihood of misanalysis (‘early gap filling’) so no continued reanalysis
- easier retrieval due to increased activation and resistance to interference
- Our findings from German call the generality of these findings and their interpretation into question.

II. Methods

Exp. 1: Self-Paced Reading
- Non-cumulative word-by-word presentation in a stationary window
- Task: reading + answer task
- 48 Participants saw 36 critical items and 110 unrelated fillers each

Exp. 2: Acceptability Judgments
- Thermometer Judgement paradigm (Featherston, 2008) – Participants judged sentence pairs relative to two fixed anchor sentence pairs
- 36 Participants saw 36 critical items and 64 unrelated fillers each

2 × 3 Design

- SENTENCE TYPE: baseline – no island violation; cnpc – violation of the Complex Noun Phrase Constraint
- FILLER TYPE: bare – bare wh-pronoun; which – which-NP phrase; adj – a which-NP phrase with adjective and adverb

III. Materials

Context: ‘Nils will betray (the fact) that Jana has backed the beguiler who was on the run and is acceptably known.’

Answer alternatives:
- den flüchtigen Begülscher ‘the fugitive beguiler’
- den müden Begülscher ‘the tired beguiler’
- den großen Dieb ‘the tall thief’
- den großen Dieb

IV. Results

Exp. 1: Residual Reading Times (2-way repeated measures ANOVAs)
- No main effects for SENTENCE TYPE
- Main effects for FILLER TYPE: Matrix clause (3 words after filler): longer RTs for ADJ than for BARE/WHICH.
- Retrieval region (embedded clause verb and aux): longer RTs for ADJ than for BARE/WHICH.

Exp. 1: Answer Times (2-way repeated measures ANOVAs)
- Main effect of SENTENCE TYPE: baseline faster than cnpc
- Main effect of FILLER TYPE: ADJ faster than which/bare
- High overall answer accuracy (93%–95% per condition)

Exp. 2: Acceptability Judgments (Z-Scores and 2-way repeated measures ANOVAs)
- Main effect of SENTENCE TYPE: baseline more acceptable than cnpc
- Interaction of SENTENCE TYPE and FILLER TYPE: baseline > which > adj; cnpc > bare < adj

IV. Discussion

Summary

- Exp. 1: Retrieving a more complex wh-phrase is more, rather than less costly than retrieving a less complex wh-phrase – evidenced by the reading times for ADJ.
- The disadvantage of a very complex wh-filler at the retrieval site might be due to the activation of more semantic features, which is indicated by the advantage of ADJ in the answer times (exclusion of answer alternatives).
- Exp. 2: In ungrammatical island violations, increasing informativity of the wh-filler improves overall acceptability.

Interpretation

- Probably a trade-off: more complex structural information is more costly to process but more semantic information also helps to identify referents in a discourse.
- Possible reasons for differences with other studies:
  - Much greater syntactic complexity of the most informative condition (ADJ)
  - Verb final sentence structure of German: retrieval region = sentence wrap up region

- German wh-pronouns are more informative than English ones (case)
- Maybe not only reading time effects but also answer task preparation

Implications

- Higher processing effort pays off in terms of better success at interpretation.
- Processing in non-island and island structures does not differ.
- More research on languages with differing morpho-syntax is needed.